

III. REMARKS

1. Claims 1 and 7 are amended.

2. The Examiner has rejected claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph with regard to the limitation "functional" representation of the Web page recited in claims 1 and 7. While Applicant maintains that the term "functional" is supported by the disclosure, in order to clarify the intended meaning of the feature, Applicant has amended the claim to recite "resized" instead of "functional." In light of the prior withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1 and 7, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in a proper condition for allowance.

The limitation "functional" representation of a Web page" means that the amount of pixels to represent the Web page is changed (resized) while the resized Web page is still fully functional (i.e. all the original tags are present in the source code). For example, page 6, line 11 et. seq. it is said that the HTML page is resized for suitable display in its entirety on the device in a perspective similar to that when viewed on a full size display and without undue distortion. This means that the resized page is still a "functional" representation of the original page.

However, to address the Examiner's concern and the rejection, and clarify the meaning of the language, the term "functional" has been replaced with the term "resized".

Since the ultimate result of "resized" is that same as that of "functional", as recited and intended by Applicant's invention, the arguments previously raised are reasserted herein.


Applicant's invention resizes both text-based data from HTML pages and embedded images contained in many Web pages. These

features are not disclosed or suggested by Carter in view of Fleishman. Thus, claims 1 and 7 should be allowable. Claims 2-6 and 8-11 should be allowable at least in view of their dependencies.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now present in the application are clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record, and are in proper form for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested. Should any unresolved issues remain, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any over payment to Deposit Account No. 16-1350.

Respectfully submitted,


Geza C. Ziegler, Jr.
Reg No. 44,004

27 Jan 2005
Date

Perman & Green, LLP
425 Post Road
Fairfield, CT 06824
(203) 259-1800 Ext. 134
Customer No.: 2512

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to (703) 872-9306 on the date indicated below.

Date: January 27, 2005

Signature: Meaghan Baye